# ORIGINATILED

June 30 2010

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

Supreme Court No. DA 09-0682

FILED

JEANETTE DIAZ, LEAH HOFFMANN-BERNHARDT, RACHEL LAUDON, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs/Appellants,

VS.

BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF MONTANA, NEW WEST HEALTH SERVICES, MONTANA COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH ASSOCIATION, STATE OF MONTANA, AND JOHN DOES 1-100,

Defendants/Appellees.

Ed Smith

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

Lower Court CHISCOF MONTANA

JUN 3 0 2010

No. BDV 2008-956 Honorable Jeffrey Sherlock

### APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL WORDS FOR REPLY BRIEF

#### Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Appellants

Erik B. Thueson 213 Fifth Avenue PO Box 280 Helena, MT 59624-0280 Telephone: (406) 449-8200 Facsimile: (406) 449-3355 erik@thuesonlawoffice.com

Jory C. Ruggiero 303 W. Mendenhall St., Suite 1 Bozeman MT 59715 Telephone: (406) 587-1900 Facsimile: (406) 587-1901 jory@westernjusticelaw.com James G. Hunt Jonathan McDonald 310 E Broadway St Helena MT 59601

Telephone: (406) 442-8552 Facsimile: (406) 495-1660 jimhunt@huntlaw.net jmcdonald@huntlaw.net Pursuant to Mont.R.App.P. 16, the Appellants move the Court to extend the word limit of their reply brief. The basic situation necessitating this motion is summarized below.

The Appellants have filed their opening brief. There are three Appellees, however, and each have filed separate full length answer briefs. To address the many issues, the Appellants' reply will necessarily require more than the 5,000 words authorized for reply briefs under Mont.R.App.P. 11(4)b. The rules are silent on whether or not separate reply briefs are authorized where there are multiple Appellees. The Appellants are not requesting separate replies, believing they can address all contentions through a single brief, albeit with a page extension.

Therefore, given the fact that the Appellants are replying to three answer briefs, they request that the Court extend the length of their reply to 10,000 or in other words, the page limit of an opening or answer brief. Appellants will make every effort to shorten their reply under this limit and still meet the contentions raised by the Appellees.

The reply brief is due on July 9, 2010.

A proposed order and other filings required under Rule 16, *supra* have been submitted herewith.

The attorneys for the Appellees have been notified and indicate they will not object to this request.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30k day of June, 2010.

THUESON LAW OFFICE

ERIK B. THUESON

PO Box 280

Helena, MT 59624-0280

Attorney for Plaintiffs/Appellants

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 30<sup>44</sup> day of June, 2010, I served true and accurate copies of the foregoing document upon counsel of record by the

following means:	
Michael McMahon 212 North Rodney Helena MT 59601 Attorney for BC/BS	[ ] U.S. Mail [ ] Facsimile [ ] Federal Express [ ] Hand-Delivery
Robert Lukes PO Box 7909 Missoula MT 59807-7909 Attorneys for State of Montana	[ ] U.S. Mail [ ] Facsimile [ ] Federal Express [ ] Hand-Delivery
Kimberly Beatty PO Box 1697 Helena MT 59624-1697 Attorneys for New West	<ul><li>[ ] U.S. Mail</li><li>[ ] Facsimile</li><li>[ ] Federal Express</li><li>[ ] Hand-Delivery</li></ul>
James G. Hunt Jonathan McDonald 310 E Broadway St Helena MT 59601 Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs/Appellants	<ul><li>[ U.S. Mail</li><li>[ ] Facsimile</li><li>[ ] Federal Express</li><li>[ ] Hand-Delivery</li></ul>
Jory C. Ruggiero 303 W. Mendenhall St., Ste 1 Bozeman MT 59715 Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs/Appellants	[ ] U.S. Mail [ ] Facsimile [ ] Federal Express [ ] Hand-Delivery

Cologue M. Simmons